The hepatitis C virus protein NS3 suppresses TNF-α–stimulated activation of NF-κB by targeting LUBAC
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The transcription factor nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) is crucial for innate immune defense against viral infections, and its activation requires the ubiquitylation of upstream proteins, including the adaptor protein NEMO (NF-κB essential modulator). Many infectious pathogens, including hepatitis C virus (HCV), inhibit NF-κB signaling in host cells, which promotes pathogen survival. Frequently, HCV-infected individuals develop a chronic infection, which suggests that HCV can subvert host antiviral responses. We found that HCV infection and replication inhibited the activation of NF-κB by the inflammatory cytokine tumor necrosis factor–α (TNF-α), which was mediated by the viral protein NS3 and, to a lesser extent, NS5B. NS3 directly interacted with linear ubiquitin chain assembly complex (LUBAC), competed with NEMO for binding to LUBAC, and inhibited the LUBAC-mediated linear ubiquitylation of NEMO and the subsequent activation of NF-κB. Together, our results highlight an immune evasion strategy adopted by HCV to modulate host antiviral responses and enhance virus survival and persistence.

INTRODUCTION

The tumor necrosis factor–α (TNF-α)–induced activation of the transcription factor nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) plays a critical role in inflammatory processes and is involved in the regulation of immune responses (1–3). Ubiquitylation is a widely used posttranslational protein modification that plays an important role in regulating TNF-α–induced NF-κB activity (2, 4–6). Studies identified a type of polyubiquitin chain in which the C-terminal glycine residue is linked to the N terminus of another ubiquitin molecule to form head-to-tail polyubiquitin chains; this type of modification is called linear ubiquitylation (7, 8). The assembly of such a distinct polyubiquitin chain scaffold composed of linear linkages between ubiquitin moieties is catalyzed by linear ubiquitin chain assembly complex (LUBAC) (9–12). Initially, LUBAC was reported to be a heterodimeric complex consisting of HOIL-1L (heme-oxidized iron regulatory protein ubiquitin ligase-1; also known as RBCK1) and HOIP (HOIL-1L–interacting protein; also known as RNF31). HOIL-1L and HOIP have similar domain structures and belong to a family of ubiquitin ligases characterized by a distinctive RING in between RING-RING (RBR) domain architecture (13–15). Upon stimulation of human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cells, HeLa cells, or U937 cells with TNF-α, LUBAC was recruited to the TNF receptor signaling complex and binds to the inhibitor of κB (IκB) kinase (IKK) complex, which is composed of three proteins: the kinases IKKα and IKKβ and the adaptor protein NF-κB essential modulator (NEMO; also known as IKKγ) (16). Although NEMO does not have kinase activity, it plays an important role in the canonical NF-κB activation pathway through its ability to bind to Lys63-linked polyubiquitin chains (16). In addition, NEMO can be modified by LUBAC-mediated linear polyubiquitylation at Lys285 and Lys309, which is required for the efficient activation of NF-κB in response to TNF-α (17). Studies have identified SHARPIN (SHANK-associated RH domain interactor) as a third component of LUBAC and shown that it is essential for linear polyubiquitylation in NF-κB signaling. A defect in SHARPIN sensitizes cells to apoptosis induced by Fas-associated death domain protein (FADD) and caspase 8 (18–20).

Viral infections often interfere with TNF-α–induced NF-κB activation. The hepatitis C virus (HCV), the only member of the Hepacivirus genus of the Flaviviridae family, has infected 170 million people worldwide, and such infections frequently lead to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (21). HCV is a single-stranded RNA virus. Its genome encodes a single polyprotein of about 3000 amino acid residues, which is processed by host and viral proteases to generate 10 viral proteins, including core, E1, E2, p7, NS2, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5A, and NS5B (22). NS3 functions as a serine protease and an RNA helicase, and both functions are indispensable for viral protein processing and virus replication (23). In addition, NS3 targets various host factors to modulate the response to viral infection. For example, HCV NS3 directly interacts with TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) and inhibits the production of type I interferon (IFN) (24). In addition, both Toll-interleukin-1 receptor domain–containing adaptor–inducing IFN-β (TRIF) and caspase activation and recruitment domain (CARD) adaptor–inducing IFN-β (Cardif) are substrates for the protease activity of NS3 (25–28); however, the effects of the HCV NS3 protein on TNF-α–induced signaling are not fully understood.

Although systemic concentrations of TNF-α increase in patients during HCV infection (29, 30), HCV is resistant to treatment with TNF-α, which suggests that HCV encodes protein(s) to evade host responses mediated by this signaling pathway (31–33). An early study reported that the HCV structural proteins Core and Envelope (when expressed by a recombinant adenovirus) inhibit hepatic cellular apoptosis in mice treated with a combination of TNF-α and α-galactosamine (34). However, the regulation of NF-κB activity in the HCV-infected cells was incompletely understood because cell culture and small-animal models of HCV infection were not available at the time. During the past decade, the establishment of a robust and highly efficient in vitro infection system based on the Huh-7 cell line.
and the HCV genotype 2a isolate Japanese fulminant hepatitis-1 (JFH-1) full-length genomes provided an opportunity to address the crosstalk between HCV and host signaling pathways (35–37). Moreover, monocistronic HCV reporter viruses have been generated by inserting sequences encoding enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) or the Renilla luciferase into the JFH-1 genome, which has enabled the visualization of viral infection in live cells (38–40). A study showed that HCV infection inhibits the TNF-α–induced activation of NF-κB through the overexpression of core, NS4B, or NS5B; however, the mechanism involved was not elucidated (41). HCV may evade the host immune response through several mechanisms. In particular, whether ubiquitin chain modification and its specificity in NF-κB activation are regulated during HCV infection and how this regulation takes place are unclear.

Here, we investigated the effect of HCV infection on TNF-α–induced NF-κB activity through a GFP–HCV infection model (JFH-1) with Huh-7.5.1 cells (38). We found that HCV infection inhibited the TNF-α–induced activation of NF-κB, and we identified the viral NS3 protein as an inhibitor of the TNF-α–signaling pathway. We further demonstrated that NS3 competed with NEMO for binding to LUBAC, which resulted in decreased LUBAC-mediated linear ubiquitination of NEMO and thus inhibited the TNF-α–induced, LUBAC-mediated activation of NF-κB.

**RESULTS**

**HCV infection and replication inhibit the TNF-α–induced activation of NF-κB**

To investigate the effect of HCV infection on the TNF-α–induced NF-κB signaling pathway, we used a GFP reporter virus (J399EAM) (38) to infect the human hepatic cell line Huh-7.5.1 and then determined the percentage of HCV-infected cells by flow cytometry. When >70% of the Huh-7.5.1 cells were infected (Fig. 1A), they were treated with TNF-α for 30 min. Nuclear translocation of NF-κB was then examined by immunocytochemical analysis of p65, which is a major component of the NF-κB complex. In uninfected control cells, TNF-α induced the translocation of NF-κB from the cytoplasm to the nucleus (Fig. 1B). In contrast, in HCV-infected cells, the TNF-α–induced translocation of NF-κB was markedly reduced as demonstrated by a more diffuse pattern of p65 staining throughout the cytoplasm and the nucleus, especially in those cells in which the extent of HCV replication (as measured by GFP intensity) was relatively high (Fig. 1B).

To determine the effect of HCV replication on TNF-α–mediated NF-κB activation, HCV replicon cells harboring a subgenomic fragment derived from JFH-1 were treated with IFN-α-2b (1000 IU/ml), and the abundance of NS3 protein in the cells was then examined (Fig. 1C). After NS3 protein became undetectable, these cells were analyzed by luciferase reporter assay to examine NF-κB activity. These experiments demonstrated that the NF-κB activity in HCV replicon cells was suppressed compared to that in IFN-cured replicon cells (Fig. 1D), consistent with the result obtained from the JFH-1–infected cells (Fig. 1B). The amount of phosphorylated IKK (pIKK) in the HCV replicon cells in response to TNF-α was decreased compared to that in TNF-α–stimulated, IFN-cured cells, suggesting that IKK activation was inhibited by HCV (fig. S1A). Western blotting and densitometric analysis showed that the phosphorylation of IkBα was also reduced in HCV replicon cells during the course of TNF-α treatment compared to that in similarly treated, IFN-cured cells; however, the total abundance of IkBα did not change (Fig. 1E and fig. S1B). One possible explanation for this finding is that the HCV replicon is a complex system that expresses multiple viral proteins, including NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5A, and NS5B. Therefore, the total abundance of IkBα that we observed in the replicon cells was a result of the combined effects of multiple HCV proteins. Consistent with this explanation, a previous study showed that NS5A degrades IkBα by inducing its tyrosine phosphorylation at Tyr32 and Tyr36, which is in contrast to the classical phosphorylation of IkBα at Ser23 and Ser36 (42).

By quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis, we found that the expression of the TNFAIP3 gene, which encodes A20, a downstream target gene of NF-κB, was reduced in HCV replicon cells compared to that in IFN-cured cells (Fig. 1F). To further demonstrate that HCV replication inhibited the TNF-α–induced activation of NF-κB, we performed knockdown experiments with HCV replicon cells with small interfering RNA (siRNA) targeting the 5′ nontranslated region of the HCV genome (43), which inhibited HCV replication (fig. S1C), and then we examined NF-κB activity with a luciferase reporter assay (fig. S1D). These data indicated that HCV replication inhibited the TNF-α–mediated activation of NF-κB.

**HCV NS3 protein blocks NF-κB signaling**

We hypothesized that the inhibition of TNF-α–induced NF-κB activation might be mediated by a viral protein expressed during HCV infection. Thus, we constructed a panel of expression plasmids that encoded the 10 HCV proteins with N-terminal epitope tags and then used a luciferase reporter assay to screen for their ability to suppress TNF-α–mediated NF-κB activation. Transient transfection of HEK 293T cells with plasmids encoding P7, E1, E2, NS2, NS4A, NS4B, or NS5A proteins did not affect TNF-α–induced NF-κB activation, whereas expression of the core protein resulted in a slight, but not statistically significant, reduction in NF-κB signaling (Fig. 2A). In contrast, the presence of NS3 or NS5B in the transfected cells substantially inhibited the TNF-α–dependent activation of NF-κB signaling, with NS3 having the most potent effect (Fig. 2A). Because the inhibition of TNF-α–induced activation of NF-κB by NS5A was reported previously (41), we decided to focus our efforts on studying NS3. Indeed, although transfection of HEK 293T cells with a plasmid expressing NS3 protein alone had no effect on basal NF-κB activity, it efficiently inhibited the induction of NF-κB activation by TNF-α (Fig. 2B). The extent of phosphorylation of IkBα and the abundance of TNFAIP3 mRNA in response to TNF-α were also reduced in the presence of NS3, suggesting that NS3 inhibited signaling downstream of NF-κB (Fig. 2, C and D, and fig. S2). Together, these results suggested that NS3 was sufficient to block NF-κB signaling in cells.

**NS3 interacts with LUBAC**

A previous study of the protein-protein interaction network during HCV infection using yeast two-hybrid screening reported that NS3 interacted specifically with HOIP, a component of LUBAC (44). Therefore, we hypothesized that NS3 might inhibit TNF-α–induced NF-κB activation through its interaction with LUBAC. We first examined whether NS3 interacted with HOIP in mammalian cells. We transfected HEK 293T cells with plasmids encoding hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged NS3 or Flag-tagged HOIP and then performed coimmunoprecipitation experiments, which indicated that NS3 interacted with exogenously expressed HOIP (Fig. 3A). In addition, in experiments with an antibody against HOIP, we confirmed that NS3 also interacted with endogenous HOIP (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, immunofluorescence staining of GFP-tagged NS3 protein and Flag-tagged HOIP protein in cotransfected Huh-7.5.1 cells showed that most of the HOIP protein colocalized with NS3 in the cytoplasm (Fig. 3C), which provided further evidence of their interaction in cells.

To determine the domain(s) of NS3 that mediated its interaction with HOIP, we made two deletion constructs to separately express either the protease or the helicase domain of NS3. The results of communoprecipitation experiments showed that whereas the protease domain of NS3 interacted...
with HOIP, the helicase domain did not (Fig. 3D), which suggests that the protease domain was responsible for mediating the interaction of full-length NS3 with HOIP. Because HOIP is a component of LUBAC, we next determined whether NS3 also interacted with HOIL-1L or SHARPIN, the two other components of LUBAC, through coimmunoprecipitation studies of transiently transfected cells. We found that NS3 did not directly interact with HOIL-1L or SHARPIN alone; however, in the presence of HOIP, NS3 interacted with both HOIL-1L and SHARPIN (Fig. 3, E and F).
promoter–based firefly luciferase reporter plasmid (50 ng), the Renilla luciferase reporter plasmid pRL-TK (10 ng), and either empty vector or plasmid encoding one of the indicated HCV proteins (200 ng). Twenty hours later, the cells were left untreated or treated with TNF-α (20 ng/ml) for 6 hours before being subjected to luciferase reporter assays. (B) Effect of NS3 on the TNF-α-stimulated activation of NF-κB. HEK 293T cells were cotransfected with the luciferase reporter plasmids and either pHA-NS3 (200 ng) or pHA-vector control (200 ng). Twenty hours after transfection, the cells were left untreated or treated with TNF-α (20 ng/ml) for 6 hours before being subjected to luciferase reporter assays. (C) HEK 293T cells that were transfected with pHA-NS3 or empty vector as a control were left untreated or treated with TNF-α for the indicated times before being analyzed by Western blotting with antibodies against the indicated proteins. Western blots are representative of three independent experiments. See fig. S2 for densitometric analysis. (D) HEK 293T cells were transfected with pHA-NS3 or empty vector as a control and then were left untreated or treated for 6 hours with TNF-α (20 ng/ml). Cells were then subjected to qRT-PCR analysis to determine the relative abundance of TNFAIP3 mRNA normalized to that of GAPDH mRNA. Data in (A), (B), and (D) are means ± SEM of triplicate samples from a single experiment and are representative of three independent experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

Together, our results suggest that NS3 interacted through its protease domain with the HOIP component of LUBAC.

NS3 blocks the LUBAC-mediated activation of NF-κB

LUBAC is required for TNF-α–induced NF-κB activation, and a study showed that overexpression of LUBAC potently activates NF-κB (9). Thus, we next investigated whether the binding of NS3 to LUBAC resulted in the inhibition of LUBAC-mediated NF-κB activation. Luciferase reporter assays indicated that NS3 inhibited LUBAC-mediated NF-κB activation in a concentration-dependent manner in transfected HEK 293T cells (Fig. 4A) and Huh-7 cells (Fig. 4B). The LUBAC-dependent linear ubiquitylation of NEMO is essential for NF-κB activity (9). As expected, overexpression of linear Ub-NEMO stimulated NF-κB signaling; however, activation of NF-κB under these conditions was not inhibited by increasing amounts of NS3 protein (Fig. 4C), suggesting that the NS3-dependent blockade of NF-κB signaling occurred upstream of the formation of linear Ub-NEMO. We further determined from reporter assays that the protease domain, but not the helicase domain, of NS3 inhibited LUBAC-mediated NF-κB activation in transfected HEK 293T cells (Fig. 4D) and Huh-7 cells (Fig. 4E). Note that the extent of inhibition of NF-κB activation by the protease domain of NS3 was as potent as that by full-length NS3, especially in Huh-7 cells (Fig. 4E). Together, these data suggest that the interaction of the protease domain of NS3 with HOIP blocked the LUBAC-mediated activation of NF-κB.

NS3 competes with NEMO for binding to HOIP

Because NS3 is a serine protease that cleaves its substrates (23), it is possible that the interaction of NS3 with HOIP may lead to the degradation of HOIP and the subsequent inhibition of LUBAC-mediated NF-κB activation. We thus examined the abundance of HOIP protein in cells expressing NS3. However, we found that the abundance of HOIP protein did not change in cells in the presence of increasing amounts of NS3, suggesting that NS3 did not lead to the degradation of HOIP (fig. S3A). Moreover, the abundance of HOIP protein in HCV-infected Huh-7.5.1 cells (20) was comparable to that in uninfected or control cells (fig. S3, B and C). We further constructed a protease-inactive mutant of NS3, NS3(S139A). Luciferase reporter assays demonstrated that this mutant NS3 inhibited TNF-α–induced NF-κB activity as potently as did wild-type NS3 (fig. S3D), indicating that the serine protease activity of NS3 was not essential for its ability to inhibit NF-κB signaling.

To dissect the mechanism by which NS3 inhibits the LUBAC-mediated activation of NF-κB, we first mapped the domain(s) of HOIP that were
required for its interaction with NS3. HOIP has multiple domains, including a putative AAA ATPase–binding (PUB) domain, a putative zinc finger ubiquitin-binding (ZnF-RBZ) domain [including three subdomains: zinc finger (ZF), NPL4 zinc finger 1 (NZF1), and NPL4 zinc finger 2 (NZF2)], a ubiquitin-associated (UBA) domain, and an RBR domain (J3). We made a series of HOIP deletion mutant proteins (Fig. 5A) and performed coimmunoprecipitation experiments in transiently transfected cells to test their ability to interact with NS3. The N-terminal fragments HOIP (1 to 520 amino acids) and HOIP (1 to 670 amino acids) and the C-terminal fragment HOIP (RBR domain) physically interacted with NS3,
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which is the same domain in LUBAC that binds to NEMO (9), we hypothesized that the binding of NS3 to HOIP might interfere with the interaction between HOIP and NEMO. To test this, we performed competitive coimmunoprecipitation experiments. These showed that overexpression of GFP-tagged NS3 indeed disrupted the interaction between HOIP and NEMO in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 5E). To exclude the possibility that GFP might have artifically inhibited the association between HOIP and NEMO, we repeated the coimmunoprecipitation experiments with HA-tagged NS3. These experiments demonstrated that HA-NS3 also inhibited the interaction between NEMO and HOIP (Fig. 5F), providing further evidence that NS3 competes with NEMO for binding to HOIP. Because a study demonstrated that the NZF1 domain of HOIP is responsible for binding to NEMO (45), we performed additional competitive coimmunoprecipitation experiments and showed, as expected, that NS3, which we showed earlier interacted with the NZF2 domain of HOIP (Fig. 5C), did not compete with the interaction between NEMO and the NZF1 domain of HOIP (fig. S4).

**NS3 inhibits the TNF-α-stimulated linear ubiquitylation of NEMO**

Because the binding of LUBAC to NEMO is crucial for the subsequent linear ubiquitylation of NEMO (9), we next investigated whether the disruption of the HOIP-NEMO interaction by the HCV NS3 protein led to decreased linear ubiquitylation of NEMO. GFP-HCV–infected Huh-7.5.1 cells or uninfected control cells were left untreated or treated with TNF-α (20 ng/ml) for 6 hours before being subjected to luciferase reporter assays. Data in all panels are means ± SEM of triplicate samples from a single experiment and are representative of three independent experiments. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we demonstrated that the HCV NS3 protein targets LUBAC, disrupts the LUBAC-NEMO interaction, inhibits linear ubiquitylation of NEMO, and interferes with NF-κB activation, thus providing a potential mechanistic explanation for the inhibition of TNF-α–induced NF-κB activation observed during HCV infection. Our study revealed a previously uncharacterized immune evasion strategy adopted by HCV to escape host antiviral responses.
Various studies have demonstrated that LUBAC plays a crucial role in the TNF-α–stimulated activation of NF-κB through linear ubiquitylation of NEMO (9, 10, 12). Here, we showed that HCV infection and replication inhibited TNF-α–induced NF-κB activation (Fig. 1), and we identified NS3 as one of the viral proteins that mediated this inhibition (Fig. 2). Because linear ubiquitylation of NEMO is mediated by LUBAC, the interaction between NS3 and HOIP that we showed by coimmunoprecipitation and colocalization studies (Fig. 3) suggested that NS3 might inhibit TNF-α–induced NF-κB activation by interfering with LUBAC, which was reported to function as an E3 ubiquitin ligase and to target NEMO for linear ubiquitylation (9, 12, 13). Indeed, we found that this interaction blocked the LUBAC-mediated activation of NF-κB (Fig. 4). Moreover, we showed that the protease domain, but not the helicase domain, of NS3 bound to HOIP (Fig. 3D). Consistent with this finding, the NS3 protease domain, but not the helicase domain, inhibited LUBAC-induced NF-κB activity (Fig. 4, D and E). The interaction between LUBAC and NEMO is a crucial step in the activation of NF-κB in response to TNF-α, and the NZF1 domain within the ZnF-RBZ region of HOIP is responsible for this interaction (9, 45). Results from our coimmunoprecipitation experiments showed that NS3 also interacted with the NZF2 domain of HOIP, but not with the NZF1 domain, of HOIP (Fig. 5, A to C). Thus, the binding of NS3 to the NZF2 domain of HOIP may physically block the binding of NEMO to the neighboring NZF1 domain of HOIP, presenting a strategy that HCV has adopted to interfere with this crucial step in NF-κB activation. Indeed, we showed that the binding of NS3 to HOIP sequestered HOIP away from NEMO (Fig. 5E).
leading to inhibition of linear ubiquitylation of NEMO (Fig. 6) and of the subsequent activation of NF-κB (Fig. 4). In addition, we showed that NS3 also interacted with the RBR domain of HOIP (Fig. 5, A, B, and D). The biological effect of this interaction on HCV infection and immunity—whether it affects the E3 ubiquitin ligase function of HOIP and represents a parallel mechanism to contribute to the inhibition of linear ubiquitylation of NEMO by NS3—remains to be further investigated.

The regulation of NF-κB signaling by HCV has been studied previously (32, 34, 46). While the current work was ongoing, Park et al. reported that HCV infection enhances TNF-α-induced cell death by suppressing NF-κB, and the authors identified the HCV proteins core, NS4B, and NS5B as mediating this suppression. Their study suggested that core, NS4B, and NS5B might suppress NF-κB activity by directly interacting with IKK, although the detailed mechanisms involved were not determined (40). Here, we found that both NS3 and NS5B inhibited the activation of NF-κB; however, NS4B did not exhibit any inhibitory function, whereas the core protein displayed some inhibitory effect that was without statistical significance (Fig. 2A). Differences in experimental systems between our study and that of Park et al. (for example, different protein abundances) might account for the partially overlapping results. Another important difference between these two studies is that Park et al. tested NS3 and NS4A together, but not individually, in their screening. Because NS3 displayed the most substantial inhibitory effect in our system, we focused on this viral protein and elucidated the molecular mechanism underlying its function. Because NF-κB signaling plays a critical role in antiviral immune responses, it is not surprising that HCV uses multiple mechanisms to block or inhibit the activation of NF-κB and that some of these mechanisms are independent of NS3.

In summary, we demonstrated that HCV infection suppressed TNF-α-induced NF-κB activation in both HCV replicon and cell culture systems through a direct interaction between NS3 and LUBAC, which led to the sequestration of NEMO from the LUBAC adaptor protein and the inhibition of LUBAC-mediated linear ubiquitylation of NEMO. Increased production of TNF-α has long been observed in many HCV patients (30); however, HCV is resistant to TNF-α (31). Our study therefore provides a potential mechanistic explanation for the observed inhibition of NF-κB signaling that occurs despite the increased serum concentration of TNF-α in chronically infected HCV patients. Because NF-κB controls the expression of many inflammatory cytokines, the inhibition of TNF-α-induced NF-κB activation may contribute to the persistence of HCV by limiting downstream inflammatory and immune responses. Because LUBAC-mediated ubiquitylation of NEMO is essential for the activation of NF-κB, disruption of the LUBAC-NEMO complex by NS3 during infection with HCV is therefore a previously uncharacterized strategy for viruses to modulate host inflammatory responses and enhance virus survival and persistence.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and antibodies
Recombinant TNF-α was obtained from eBioscience, and recombinant IFN-α-2b was obtained from ProSpec. Mouse monoclonal antibodies against Flag, HA, and β-actin were obtained from Sigma; those against Myc and NEMO were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; those against NF-κB p65, pIκB, and total IκB were from Cell Signaling; mouse monoclonal antibody against HCV NS3 protein was from Abcam; and mouse monoclonal antibody against linear ubiquitin was obtained from LifeSensors. Rabbit polyclonal antibodies against GFP and HOIP were purchased from Abcam.

Plasmids and constructs
Expression plasmids encoding HOIP (RNF31), HOIL-1 (RBCK1), and linear Ub-NEMO were gifts from E. Treuter, D. Chen, and K. Iwai, respectively. Mammalian expression plasmids encoding human NEMO, HOIP, and their mutants were constructed by standard molecular biology techniques. Sequences encoding the HCV proteins core, P7, E1, E2, NS2, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5A, and NS5B were amplified by PCR from a plasmid containing the full-length genome of JFH-1 HCV and were subcloned into the vector pENTR/D-TOPO (Invitrogen). Through Gateway recombinase, the 10 open reading frames of HCV were transferred individually into the vector pBabe-CMV-YFPn-DEST-neo (47, 48). To construct expression plasmids for HA- and GFP-tagged NS3, the complementary DNA fragment that encodes the NS3 protein (amino acid residues 1031 to 1661), which was derived from the HCV subtype JFH-1, was amplified by PCR and

Fig. 6. NS3 inhibits linear ubiquitylation of NEMO. (A) HCV infection inhibits linear ubiquitylation of NEMO. Uninfected (Mock) or GFP-HCV–infected Huh-7.5.1 cells were left untreated or treated for 30 min with TNF-α (20 ng/ml). The cells were then lysed and subjected to immunoprecipitation with antibody against NEMO and were analyzed by Western blotting with antibodies against the indicated proteins. (B) NS3 inhibits linear ubiquitylation of NEMO. Huh-7.5.1 cells were left untransfected or transfected with increasing amounts of pGFP-NS3. Thirty-six hours later, the cells were left untreated or treated for 30 min with TNF-α (20 ng/ml). The cells were then lysed and subjected to immunoprecipitation with antibody against NEMO and were analyzed by Western blotting with antibodies against the indicated proteins. All Western blots are representative of three independent experiments.
G418. For HCV infection, GFP-HCV (JFH-1 strain) was propagated and cured cells were maintained in complete DMEM without IFN-α (200 U/ml; Invitrogen) to derive pHA-NS3 and pGFP-NS3, respectively.

HCV replicon cells and HCV-JFH1 cell culture
HCV replicon cells (JFH-1 strain) were maintained in complete Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and G418 (0.5 g/liter; Invitrogen). To eliminate HCV RNA, cells were maintained in complete DMEM supplemented with IFN-α-2b (1000 IU/ml) instead of G418. After the HCV protein NS3 became undetectable, IFN-α-2b cured cells were maintained in complete DMEM without IFN-α-2b or G418. For HCV infection, GFP-HCV (JFH-1 strain) was propagated and used as described previously (38).

Transfection of cells with siRNAs
An siRNA targeting the 5′ nontranslated region of the HCV genome (JFH-1 strain) (5′-AAAGGCCCUCUGUGAUCGCCU-3′) was used as described previously (43). Transfections of replicon cells with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Flow cytometric analysis
Cells were collected by trypsinization, fixed in 2% PFA, and analyzed on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) according to standard protocols.

Luciferase reporter assay
HEK 293T cells (1.5 × 10⁵) were seeded on 24-well plates and transfected the next day with Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Where necessary, empty plasmid was added to ensure that each set of cells received the same amount of total DNA. The plasmid prL-TK was used as a control reporter for normalization. Some cells were treated with TNF-α (20 ng/ml) for 6 hours before being lysed. Twenty-four hours after transfection, luciferase activity was measured with a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Firefly luciferase activities were normalized to that of Renilla luciferase. All reporter assays were repeated at least three times in triplicate. Data shown are means ± SEM of triplicate samples from a single experiment and are representative of three independent experiments.

qRTP-PCR analysis
Total RNA was extracted from cultured cells with TRizol reagent (Invitrogen). Real-time PCR was performed with Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) in an ABI 7500 Real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The primers for real-time PCR analysis were as follows: TNAIP5 forward, 5′-CTCAACTGGTGTCTGAGAGTCC-3′; TNAIP5 reverse, 5′-TCCTCTAACCCTCGTGAAAACG-3′; GAPDH forward, 5′-GAAGCCTTAACTGACTGG-3′; GAPDH reverse, 5′-GCCTGCTTCACCACCTCTCT-3′. The abundance of TNAIP5 mRNA in each sample was normalized to that of GAPDH.

Comunmunoprecipitations and Western blotting analysis
Thirty-six to 48 hours after transfection, cells were lysed in a buffer containing 50 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, and 1% Triton X-100. For immunoprecipitations, cell lysates were incubated with the appropriate antibodies for 2 hours on ice, which was followed by precipitation with protein G Sepharose (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Samples were resolved by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes. After blocking in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.1% Tween 20 and 5% skim milk, the PVDF blots were incubated with antibodies specific for Flag (anti-Flag, M2; Sigma), HA (Sigma), Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), actin (Sigma), or GFP (Abcam), as indicated in the figure legends. Reactive bands were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence and analyzed. Western blotting to analyze linear ubiquitylation was performed as described previously (12).

Immunofluorescence staining
Cells were fixed in 4% PFA, permeabilized with PBS, 0.2% Triton X-100, and incubated with anti-Flag or anti-p65 monoclonal antibodies, followed by incubation with a TRITC-conjugated anti-mouse antibody. Nuclei were stained with DAPI.

Statistical analysis
Statistical significance was determined by Student’s t test.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS
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Fig. S1. HCV replication inhibits the TNF-α-mediated activation of NF-κB.
Fig. S2. Densitometric analysis of the effect of NS3 on the abundances of p65Bα and total IκBα.
Fig. S3. Analysis of the effect of NS3 on the stability of HOIP protein.
Fig. S4. Analysis of the effect of NS3 on the interaction between the NZF1 domain of HOIP and NEMO.
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